## IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FY 2011 ZERO BASED BUDGET DECISION OPTION SUMMARY

Item	Bureau	Activity Description	Priority	Priority Basis	Estimated Budget Impact	Action	Comments
101	Administration	Replace two part-time benefitted positions with one FTP	High	Public Expectation	10,000	Hold	Salary and Benefit Savings
-		Reduce License Section service hours to 40		Statute and Public	-,		
102	Administration	per week (currently 48)	Low	Expectation	15,000	Not Recommended	Reduction of key support to over 300 vendors statewide during peak periods
103	Administration	Extend Fleet Vehicle Replacement Cycle	Low	Other	-	Not Recommended	Increased maintenance and safety issues Decreased reliability for staff needs
104	Administration	Reduce Systems Development Budget	Low	Other	50,000	Not Recommended	Existing appropriation is needed for prudent management of aging Budget software
105	Administration	Reallocate portion of Personnel Budget	High	Other	50,000	Implement	Reduce Admin PE budget & allocate to higher priority Savings may be offset by increases in other critical programs
		Reduce Fleet Size / Implement Regional		0.1	.==		
106	Administration	Pooling	High	Other	375,000	Not Recommended	Savings in one-time capital outlay for fleet vehicles is amortized over the replacement cycle
107	Administration	Eliminate Challenge Grant Program	Med	Other	50,000	Hold	Reduces opportunities for local gov't or private donors to participate improvement projects
109	Administration	Info Sys personnel reclassification	High	Other	48,000	Implement	Action already implemented
110	Administration	Suspend CRC FY11	Low	Other	200,000	Not Recommended	CRC already dramatically scaled back in FY09 & FY10 Many computers will be at or near their useful life by 2011
111	Administration	Consolidate Wireless Phone Accounts	High	Other	-	Implement	More efficient management of wireless phone use fiscal impact to-be-determined
							Reduce ABC coding for fewer STARS transactions = Lower SWCAP charges / Reduce
112	Administration	Modify ABC System	High	Other	100,000	Implement	employee data entry on timekeeping, AP and other Accounting. No fiscal impact in FY 2011
		Licensing - Discontinue post cards to controlled					
113	Administration	hunt winners	Med	Public Expectation	10,000	Not Recommended	Annual cost of printing and mailing
114	Administration	Salmon Region Office - Convert 3 part-time to 2 FTPs	High	Other	13,200	Implement	Part of "Employee Consolidation Business Plan"
201	Enforcement	Transfer vehicle from HQ to Region 4	Med	Other	-	Implement	Better utilization and efficiency of fleet resources
		Charge eligible activities to federal fund					
202	Enforcement	sources	Med	Other	-	Hold	License funds made available for other department priorities
203	Enforcement	Eliminate or reduce Employee Fitness Program	Low	Other	80,000	Not Recommended	Cost of program is offset by benefits
204	Enforcement	Reduce mandatory training to minimum	High	Other	-	Implement	Undetermined fiscal impact
205	Enforcement	Convert part-time forensic scientist to FTP	High	Other	_	Hold	Increased PC and FTP approval needed
	Zimoroomon	Increase the size of officers' service areas to	g	Statute and Public		11010	more account of an art it approval needed
206	Enforcement	reduce FTPs	Low	Expectation	-	Not Recommended	Reduced service level and increased incident response time
301	Fisheries	Change funding for OE at Hagerman Hatchery	High	Public Expectation	268,800	Implement	License funds made available for other department priorities
202	Fight	Change funding for PC and OE at Cabinet Gorge Hatchery	Lliet	Dublio Ever-static	200 000	lmnls t	License funds made available for other department priorities
302	Fisheries	Change match funding for Salmon and	High	Public Expectation	226,000	Implement	License rando made avaliable for other department priorities
303	Fisheries	Steelhead management program	High	Public Expectation	53,000	Implement	License funds made available for other department priorities
304	Fisheries	Contract fish marking services	High	Biological Need	-	Implement	Fiscal impact not calculated
305	Fisheries	Contract additional temporary labor	Med	Other	-	Hold	Potential personnel cost savings Additional evaluation is required
306	Fisheries	Increase resident hatchery program to meet deferred maintenance needs	High	Public Expectation	-	Hold	Estimated \$5 million in deferred capital maintenance needs
401	Wildlife	Region 1 - Convert 2 Temps into 1 Regional Wildlife Biologist FTP	High	Other	16,300	Implement	Part of "Employee Consolidation Business Plan"

## IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME FY 2011 ZERO BASED BUDGET DECISION OPTION SUMMARY

				Priority	Estimated		
Item	Bureau	Activity Description	Priority	Basis	Budget Impact	Action	Comments
		Region 2 - Convert 2 Temps into 1 Wildlife					
402	Wildlife	Technician FTP	High	Other	21,200	Implement	Part of "Employee Consolidation Business Plan"
		Region 3 - Convert 5 Temps into 2 Wildlife					
403	Wildlife	Technician FTPs	High	Other	37,000	Implement	Part of "Employee Consolidation Business Plan"
		HQ Customer Service Reps - Convert 5					
501	Communications	Temps into 3 FTPs	High	Other	20,100	Implement	Part of "Employee Consolidation Business Plan"
502	Communications	Aquatic Ed - Convert 2 Temps into 1 FTP	High	Other	21,900	Implement	Part of "Employee Consolidation Business Plan"
		Hunter Ed / Regional Programs - Convert 9					
503	Communications	Temps into 4 FTPs	High	Other	79,600	Implement	Part of "Employee Consolidation Business Plan"
		Aquatic Ed / MKNC - Convert 2 Temps into 2			,	•	
504	Communications	FTPs	High	Other	-	Implement	Part of "Employee Consolidation Business Plan" (8/4)
						•	

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center: Decision Option:	101 Administration Licensing
Replace two part-time benefitted	positions with one new FTE
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$10,000
Salary and benefit savi	
Advantages:	
Cost reduction	
Disadvantages:	
Reduced staffing flexibility for pea	k workload periods
Program Leader Recommendation	n:
Implement this action	
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this Op No - Action Not Approve No - But Consider for Po	ed
Signature of Director's	o Office

	Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
DFG Decis Program B Cost Cente Decision C	er:	102 Administration Licensing
Reduce Lic support to	cense Section service h	ours back to a standard 40 hour work week. Currently we provide or 10 hours each day and 8 hours on Saturdays to support the
iscal Imp	act Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$15,000
	Salary and benefit sav	vings from reduced hours
		peak periods for our network of over 300 vendors statewide who
	eader Recommendation	on: our system of vendors and the public far outweigh the costs
Director's	Decision:  Yes - Implement this O  No - Action Not Approx	
	No - But Consider for I	Possible Future Action

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	103 Administration Fleet Management
<b>Decision Option:</b> Extend the useful life of our fleet	vahislas
extend the useral life of our fleet	veriicies.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):  Impact Comments:	No net savings
Any short-term reduct costs and reduced res	ion in capital spending would be offset by increased maintenance ale value.
Advantages:	
state guidelines and optimizes life	down time for fleet vehicles being repaired. Current plan meets e-cycle costs. May increase safety risks with less reliable vehicles
often in remote locations.  Program Leader Recommendatio	n.
Not recommended.	
Director's Decision:  Yes - Implement this Op No - Action Not Approv No - But Consider for P	ed
Signature of Director's	s Office

	Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:		104 Administration Financial Management
<b>Decision Opt</b> Reduce budg		opment, enhancement and fixes.
•	Amount (in dollars): pact Comments:	\$50,000
	ny short-term reduct osts and reduced resa	ion in capital spending would be offset by increased maintenance ale value.
Advantages: Cost reductio		
new efficienc	get cut in the event v	we encounter unspecified systems issues. This would also limit requiring a freeze on updates to our licensing system that could
	der Recommendatio	
	ended. We will spend rudent management.	l only as needed for critical items but existing appropriation is .
Director's De	cision:	
	Yes - Implement this Op  No - Action Not Approve  No - But Consider for Po	ed

Zero I	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	Administration Financial Management / License Section
Decision Option:	
Reallocate a portion of personnel Management and 1/2 from License	budget to higher priority activities. 1/2 from Financial e Section.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$50,000
Savings in Admin may b	oe offset by additions to other critical program activities
Advantages:	
	er needed as a result of increased efficiencies.
<b>Disadvantages:</b> None	
Program Leader Recommendation	1:
Implement this action	
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this Op No - Action Not Approve No - But Consider for Po	ed
Signature of Director's	Office

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center: Decision Option:	106 Administration Fleet Management
Reduce number of vehicles in our region. Target to reduce fleet size	fleet by promoting vehicle sharing/pooling where feasible in each by 15 vehicles.
	\$375,000  rely \$25,000 per vehicle in capital spending. Savings would be as part of the replacement cycle.
Advantages:  Cost reduction  Disadvantages:  May be difficult to meet vehicle n	needs during peak periods of usage.
Program Leader Recommendation Implement this action	on:
Director's Decision:  Yes - Implement this O No - Action Not Approv No - But Consider for P	red Possible Future Action

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget:	107 Administration
Cost Center:	Financial Management
Decision Option:	
Eliminate Challenge Grant progra	m
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$50,000
Could also implement	a partial reduction
Advantages:	
Cost reduction	
Disadvantages:	
	ment to offer incentives to local or county governments or private with cost sharing.
Program Leader Recommendatio	on:
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this Op No - Action Not Approv No - But Consider for P	ved
Signature of Director's	s Office

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget:	109 Administration
Cost Center:	Information Systems
Davidson Outland	
Decision Option: In July, 2008 we lost a senior prog	rammer to retirement. We chose not to fill the position until we
personal issues. We chose to fill to reclassify the second Programm \$15,000 annually. It also allows for an additional savings of rought the first programmer position for the second programmer position, elimination of 2 year-round tempores.	Id support it. In January, 2009 we lost a second programmer to the first position but not the second. In June 0f 2009 we decided her Analyst to an OSII. This provides for a direct savings of roughly or the elimination of two year-round 1385 temporary positions, by \$33,000. This reclass takes effect June 28, 2009. By not filling 10 months saved an additional \$8,300 in FY 2009. By not filling saved \$14,500 of additional personnel costs in FY 2009. The orary positions and the reclassification of the Programmer to OSII the I.S. Bureau by \$48,000 annually.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):	\$48,000
Impact Comments: Annual savings achieve	ed
Advantages:	
<u> </u>	lition of full time OSII to manage computer inventory, invoicing,
help desk, and document imaging	management.
Disa duantagas.	
Disadvantages: Loss of programming expertise for	r the Administration Bureau. Longer application development life
cycles as workload is shifted and c	
Program Leader Recommendatio	n:
This action has been implemented	
Director's Decision:	
✓ Yes - Implement this Op	otion
No - Action Not Approv	
No - But Consider for P	ossible Future Action
Signature of Director's	s Office

## **Zero Based Budget Decision Option IDFG Decision Option Number:** 110 **Program Budget:** Administration **Cost Center:** Information Systems **Decision Option:** Suspension of 4 year Computer Replacement cycle. This would be a continuance of the suspension of CRC from FY 2009 and FY 2010. We suspended CRC in July of 2008 due to concerns over economic conditions. We chose to replace only those computers that could not be repaired. The capital savings for FY 2009 was roughly \$328,000. For FY 2010 we decided to restart a limited CRC, but reduced capital by \$87,300. In addition, we will only replace those computers that are critical (can no longer be covered under maintenance), those that are older and support critical functions, those that are out of capacity, and those that fail and cannot be repaired. Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): \$200,000 **Impact Comments:** Partial reduction considered but not recommended due to significant reductions already in effect. Advantages: A potential savings of Roughly \$200,000 for FY 2011. Disadvantages: Because of the measures taken in FY 2009 and FY 2010 to reduce our computer expenditures, we will have a significant number of computers reaching end of life (5-8 years old) in FY 2011. The potential for having failure rates beyond our ability to address them increases dramatically in FY 2011 if budgets remain below FY 2008 levels. **Program Leader Recommendation:** Not recommended **Director's Decision:** Yes - Implement this Option ✓ No - Action Not Approved No - But Consider for Possible Future Action **Signature of Director's Office**

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center: Decision Option:	111 Administration Regional Operations
Consolidate Department cell phor eliminate low use phones.	ne accounts, eliminate text messaging, pool shared minutes and
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments: Total fiscal impact to-b	\$0 De-determined after consolidation is complete.
·	rsus multiple bills in Regions and HQ. Reduced costs by
Disadvantages:  Possible reduced information excl	hange by eliminating some phones as well as reduced availability.
Program Leader Recommendatio Implement this action	n:
Director's Decision:  Yes - Implement this Op  No - Action Not Approve  No - But Consider for Pe	ed
Signature of Director's	s Office

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	Administration State Controller Charges
<b>Decision Option:</b> Modify Departments Activity Base	ed Costing system.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$100,000
	nber of transactions and thereby reduce our future SWCAP mpact will not materialize until FY 2013.
lanocation. Doi 11scal II	mpact will not materialize until 1 2013.
have to implement a new activity	PR/DJ due to grant reporting and accounting. Department may accounting procedure for those on PR/DJ. Department would prmation for activities. If implemented the budget impact would
not be felt until two years due to	the calculation of SWCAP charges on a trailing basis.
Program Leader Recommendatio	n:
Implement this action	
Director's Decision:  Yes - Implement this Op No - Action Not Approv No - But Consider for P	ed
Signature of Director's	Office

	Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
Program   Cost Cent	er:	113 Administration Licensing
<b>Decision</b> (Discontin	•	to all controlled hunt winners.
Fiscal Imp	pact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$10,000
	Annual cost of printing	g and mailing.
obtain res Disadvant Hunters w some may	tages: vill not receive written c y not check the departm	onfirmation that they have been selected in the drawing and lent's website. It's possible they may miss the deadline to unaware they were successful in the drawing without a written
Program	Leader Recommendatio	
•	nt this recommendation during a short transition	and allow a more liberal allowance to hunters who miss the

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	Administration Regional Office Operations - Salmon
Decision Option:	
Convert three part-time positions Customer Service Rep.	to one full-time Financial Support Tech and one full-time
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$13,200
This move would resul	t in savings of the amount above.
Advantages:	
Disadvantages: None identified.	
Program Leader Recommendatio	
Implement this recommendation.	
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this Op  No - Action Not Approv  No - But Consider for P	ed
Signature of Director's	s Office

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number:	201
Program Budget: Cost Center:	Enforcement Operations
cost center.	emorcement Operations
<b>Decision Option:</b>	
Transfer a fleet vehicle from HQ to	o Region 4 for field use as a secondary undercover vehicle.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):	
Impact Comments:	
No fiscal impact.	
i	i
Advantages:	
needed to conduct covert investig	f department fleet resources. Provides a secondary vehicle
meeded to conduct covert investig	gations in the Magic Valley.
Disadvantages:	
None identified.	
Program Leader Recommendatio	ın·
This action has been implemented	
·	
Director's Decision:	
✓ Yes - Implement this Op	otion
No - Action Not Approv	
No - But Consider for P	Possible Future Action
Signature of Director's	s Office

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	202 Enforcement Regional Enforcement
	ormed by officers that are eligible for federal-aid reimbursement ately. This will free up license funds for reallocation to department
priorities.	
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	
Fiscal impact not dete	ermined.
Advantages:	
	oursed with federal funds and license funds may be used for other
Disadvantages:	
	cumentation requirements to support charges billed under federal
Program Leader Recommendation	on:
Implement this action.	511.
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this C  No - Action Not Appro  No - But Consider for	
Signature of Director	's Office

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	203 Enforcement Enforcement Operations
Decision Option:	
Reduce or eliminate the department	ent's physical fitness program.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):  Impact Comments:	\$80,000
Fiscal impact applicab	le across all department bureaus.
Advantages:	
	participation and is very popular with staff. Annual cost of the aployees, reduced sick leave and increase in employee morale.
Program Leader Recommendatio	on:
Not recommended.	
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this Op No - Action Not Approv No - But Consider for P	ved
Signature of Director's	's Office

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	204 Enforcement Regional Enforcement
Decision Option:	
Reduce mandatory training to the training to approved on a case-by	e minimum required level - 40 hours every two years. Additional y-case basis as needed.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	
Undetermined fiscal in hours will be allocated	mpact primarily associated with travel per diem. No PC savings as d to other projects.
Advantages:	
needs as needed.	nagement of tailoring specific training to individual or regional
Disadvantages:	
None identified.	
Program Leader Recommendation	on:
This has been implemented.	
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this O No - Action Not Approv No - But Consider for F	ved
Signature of Director	's Office

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	205 Enforcement Enforcement Operations
<b>Decision Option:</b> Add FTP to support moving part-t	time forensic scientist to a full-time position.
Op.	
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	
Would increase PC by	about 50% for this position.
Advantages:	
continue on a part-time basis. Wo	arrently staffed by an extremely qualified PhD who may not ork output from this position is critical to successfully prosecuting department could find another qualified person to accept this
Disadvantages:	
Increased PC but it should be not	ed that the department's costs are partially offset by a \$25,000 n to provide forensic services. Other states may also occasionally
Program Leader Recommendation	on:
Recommended.	
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this O  No - Action Not Approx  No - But Consider for F	ved
Signature of Director'	's Office

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	205 Enforcement Regional Enforcement
Decision Option:	
Increase the size of officers' service	ce areas to reduce FTPs.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):  Impact Comments:	\$150,000
Each officer represents	s approximately \$75,000 in PC.
Advantages:	
Disadvantages:  Reduced level of service and longe Lower visibility deterrent in the fields	er incident response times. Increased travel time and expense.
Program Leader Recommendatio	n:
Not recommended.	
Director's Decision:  Yes - Implement this Op  No - Action Not Approve  No - But Consider for Pe	ed
Signature of Director's	s Office

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDEC Desision Outline Name -	201
IDFG Decision Option Number:	301
Program Budget:	Fisheries
Cost Center:	Resident Hatcheries
Decision Option:	
	ng expense portion of Hagerman resident fish hatchery budget
	6 license and 75% federal (adds program to the Department's
Wildlife and Sportfish Restoration	n federal program - WSFR). This efficiency is implemented.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):	\$268,800
Impact Comments:	<u> </u>
Operating expense eff	ficiency.
, 3 ,	•
<b>!</b>	
Advantages:	
Approximately \$268,500 in licens	e operating expense funds made available to address deferred
priorities. Funds will be used as n	non-federal "match" for the WSFR Fishing and Boating Access
program and to rising operating c	costs and/or neglected repairs and maintenance associated with
the Department's resident hatche	ery program (e.g., fish feed, chemical, repairs and maintenance).
Disadvantages:	
	portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment
	alance is still maintained. If not implemented, the Department's
• •	ould need to be reduced in size and scope and fewer resident fish
	dditionally, the potential of catastrophic discharges of pollutants
Taised and Stocked for anglets. A	data of the potential of catastrophic discharges of politicality
Program Leader Recommendation	
Recommended and implemented	l.
Director's Decision:	
□	
Yes - Implement this O	
No - Action Not Approv	
No - But Consider for F	Possible Future Action
Signature of Director'	s Office

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	302 Fisheries Resident Hatcheries
Decision Option:	
hatchery budget from 100% licens	nel and operating expense portions of Cabinet Gorge resident fish se-funded to 25% license and 75% federal (adds program to the ish Restoration federal program (WSFR). This efficiency is
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):	\$226,000
-	Operating expense efficiency.
Advantages:	
	ng costs within the resident fish hatchery program (e.g., fish feed d maintenance for buildings, water delivery and discharge s.
Disadvantages:	
Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment bawould need to reduce the size and	portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment alance is still maintained. If not implemented, the Department d scope of it's resident trout stocking program. Additionally, the ses of pollutants into the public waters of the state and the
Program Leader Recommendatio	on:
Recommended and implemented	
Director's Decision:  Yes - Implement this O	
☐ No - Action Not Approv☐ No - But Consider for P	
Signature of Director's	s Office

DFG Decision Option Number:	303
Program Budget:	Fisheries
Cost Center:	Fish Management
Decision Option:	
The Department's coordinated sa	almon and steelhead management program is partially funded by
the federal Wildlife and Sportfish	n Restoration program (WSFR). The proposed action will replace
the license-funded match for this	s program with private funds from the Idaho Power Company.
License funds will be redirected t	to address deferred priorities within the Department's WSFR-
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):	\$53,000
Impact Comments:	
Personnel charge and	d operating expense efficiency
i	
Advantages	
	ferred management priorities within the state's Regional Fish
Funds will be used to address de	ferred management priorities within the state's Regional Fish
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and ns and products stocked.
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment by	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assessments of fish ler use and products stocked.
Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment bassessments and surveys will not	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and as and products stocked.  a portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment palance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment bassessments and surveys will not fish stocking program may not be	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assent and products stocked.  A portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment palance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to realized. Management options will be limited where work is not
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment be assessments and surveys will not fish stocking program may not be Program Leader Recommendation.	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assent and products stocked.  The portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment coalance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to e realized. Management options will be limited where work is not toon:
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment bassessments and surveys will not fish stocking program may not be	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assent and products stocked.  The portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment coalance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to e realized. Management options will be limited where work is not toon:
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment bassessments and surveys will not fish stocking program may not be Program Leader Recommendation.	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assent and products stocked.  The portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment coalance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to e realized. Management options will be limited where work is not toon:
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment be assessments and surveys will not fish stocking program may not be Program Leader Recommendation.	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assent and products stocked.  The portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment coalance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to e realized. Management options will be limited where work is not toon:
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment bassessments and surveys will not fish stocking program may not be Program Leader Recommendation.	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assent and products stocked.  The portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment coalance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to e realized. Management options will be limited where work is not toon:
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment be assessments and surveys will not fish stocking program may not be Program Leader Recommendation.	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assent and products stocked.  The portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment coalance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to e realized. Management options will be limited where work is not toon:
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment be assessments and surveys will not fish stocking program may not be Program Leader Recommendation.  Recommended and implemented Director's Decision:	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assessments of fish ler use and products stocked.  A portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment palance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to realized. Management options will be limited where work is not to on:  d.
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment is assessments and surveys will not fish stocking program may not be Program Leader Recommendation Recommended and implemented Director's Decision:  Yes - Implement this Commandation of the program Leader Recommended and implemented Director's Decision:	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assent and products stocked.  The portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment coalance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to realized. Management options will be limited where work is not consider.  The portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment coalance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to realized. Management options will be limited where work is not considered.
Funds will be used to address de Management Program. Example populations, assessments of ang assessments of stocking program  Disadvantages:  Requires that Fisheries obligate a balance. A safe apportionment be assessments and surveys will not fish stocking program may not be Program Leader Recommendation Recommended and implemented Director's Decision:	es of deferred work include surveys and assessments of fish ler use and success rates for various state fisheries, and assent and products stocked.  The portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment coalance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to realized. Management options will be limited where work is not consider.  The portion of their unallocated WSFR federal apportionment coalance is still maintained. If not implemented, deferred to be completed and efficiencies within the Departments resident to realized. Management options will be limited where work is not considered.

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
DFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	304 Fisheries Fish Marking
<b>Decision Option:</b> Subcontract fish marking service	es for salmon and steelhead. This action has been implemented.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):  Impact Comments:	Usulated See adventage below
Dollar amount not ca	alculated. See advantages below.
needs. Additionally, if fish are no nold sport fishing seasons or to a	nent would be unable to satisfy all salmon and steelhead marking ot completely marked to identify origin, the Department's ability to address compliance requirements of the Endangered Species Act
would be compromised.  Program Leader Recommendati	on:
Recommended and implemente	
Director's Decision:	
✓ Yes - Implement this (  No - Action Not Appro  No - But Consider for	

	Option Number:	305 Fisheries
Program Budg Cost Center:	get:	Bureau-wide
ost Center.		Bul eau-wide
Decision Opti	on:	
ubcontract a	dditional temporary	y employee services.
_	Amount (in dollars):	
,	pact Comments:	ecified. See advantages below.
	mai amount not spe	ecined. See advantages below.
1		
Advantages:		
Subcontractin		
ubconti actin	g temporary service	es (removing benefited temporaries from the Department's
payroll) will ge	enerate a net budge	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and
payroll) will ge	enerate a net budge	
payroll) will ge	enerate a net budge	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and
payroll) will ge employment t	enerate a net budge term) and relieve th	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and
oayroll) will ge employment t Disadvantage	enerate a net budge term) and relieve th	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.
eayroll) will ge employment to Disadvantage f not impleme	enerate a net budge term) and relieve th s: ented, salary saving	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize
payroll) will ge employment to Disadvantage f not impleme	enerate a net budge term) and relieve th s: ented, salary saving	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.
employment to be a second of the second of t	enerate a net budge term) and relieve th s: ented, salary saving	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize
payroll) will ge employment to pisadvantage f not implement projected savi	enerate a net budge term) and relieve th s: ented, salary saving ings associated with	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.
Disadvantage f not implemented savi	enerate a net budge term) and relieve th s: ented, salary saving ings associated with	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.
Disadvantage f not implement sorojected savi	s: ented, salary savingings associated with	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  on:  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be
Disadvantage f not implement sorojected savi	enerate a net budge term) and relieve th s: ented, salary saving ings associated with	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  on:  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be
Disadvantage f not implement sorojected savi	s: ented, salary savingings associated with	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  on:  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be
Disadvantage f not implement sorojected savi	s: ented, salary savingings associated with	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  on:  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be
Disadvantage f not implemented saviations  Program Lead Recommendereviewed to descriptions	s: ented, salary savingings associated with dwith qualifications etermine suitability	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  on:  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be
Disadvantage If not implement savi	enerate a net budge term) and relieve the serm) and relieve the serm) and relieve the serm of the series associated with series associated with qualifications etermine suitability cision:	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  on:  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be of this approach.
payroll) will go employment to the employment of	s: ented, salary savingings associated with qualifications etermine suitability  Yes - Implement this Operations	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  on:  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be of this approach.
payroll) will go employment to Disadvantage If not implement projected saving Program Lead Recommende reviewed to d	enerate a net budge term) and relieve the serm) and relieve the serm) and relieve the serm of the series of the se	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be of this approach.
payroll) will go employment to Disadvantage If not implement projected saving Program Lead Recommende reviewed to d	s: ented, salary savingings associated with qualifications etermine suitability  Yes - Implement this Operations	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be of this approach.
payroll) will go employment to Disadvantage If not implement projected saving Program Lead Recommende reviewed to d	enerate a net budge term) and relieve the serm) and relieve the serm) and relieve the serm of the series of the se	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be of this approach.
payroll) will go employment to projected saving the	enerate a net budge term) and relieve the serm) and relieve the serm) and relieve the serm of the series of the se	et savings (up to \$5,000 depending on job classification and e state's burden to co-pay benefits.  s will not be realized. Additionally, the state will not realize the transfer of employee benefits to the subcontractor.  s. Each potential conversion to subcontracted labor will be of this approach.

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	306 Fisheries Resident Hatcheries
Decision Option:	
	ogram budget to address deferred capital repair and ly \$5,000,000 of prioritized work have been identified.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	
A total of \$5,000,000 in identified as a priority i	n deferred capital maintenance and replacement has been need.
Advantages:	
If implemented, deferred repairs t	to water delivery and treatment systems, fish rearing containers es, buildings, and grounds would occur. A phased approach ould be required.
Disadvantages:	
increased potential of catastrophic	t addressing deferred maintenance and repairs include the c discharges of pollutants into the public waters of the state and fish due to failing water delivery and live support systems.
Program Leader Recommendation	n:
	over a 5-year period of time anticipated at a cost of
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this Opt No - Action Not Approve No - But Consider for Po	ed
Signature of Director's	Office

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	402 Wildlife Panhandle Wildlife Habitat Management
Decision Option:	and full attention Provides all Marie Hills - Production
Convert two part-time positions t	o one full-time Regional Wildlife Biologist.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):  Impact Comments:	\$16,300
This move would resul	It in savings of the amount above.
Advantages:	
Part of Employee Consolidation B	
<b>Disadvantages:</b> None identified.	
Program Leader Recommendatio	n:
Implement this recommendation.	
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this Op  No - Action Not Approv  No - But Consider for P	ed
Signature of Director's	s Office

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	Wildlife Clearwater Wildlife Habitat Management
Decision Option:	
Convert two part-time positions to	o one full-time Wildlife Technician.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$21,200
This move would result	t in savings of the amount above.
Advantages:	
Disadvantages: None identified.	
Program Leader Recommendation	n:
Implement this recommendation.	
Director's Decision:  Yes - Implement this Op No - Action Not Approve No - But Consider for Po	ed
Signature of Director's	Office

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	403 Wildlife Southwest Wildlife Habitat Management
Decision Option:  Convert five part-time positions t	o two full-time Wildlife Technicians.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):  Impact Comments:	\$37,000
This move would resu	It in savings of the amount above.
Advantages:	
<b>Disadvantages:</b> None identified.	
Program Leader Recommendation	
Implement this recommendation.	•
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this O  No - Action Not Approv  No - But Consider for P	ved
Signature of Director'	s Office

Zero I	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget: Cost Center:	501 Communications Headquarters Information and Education
Decision Option:	
Reduce the number of customer s permanent employees.	ervices representatives from five temporary employees, to three
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$20,100
This move would result	t in savings of the amount above.
Advantages:	
employees who have been trained	s of license dollars. It would also help us retain some of these d and are knowledgeable about customer service issues, and who of the change in the temporary benefits package.
Disadvantages:	
-	s employees, we would not have backup to cover vacations, sick nave to identify and train other department employees to help at
Program Leader Recommendation	n:
employees who answer hundreds	ion. It saves money and allows us to retain very valuable of calls each day, in addition to selling licenses and tags. Many f customers, and these employees deal with the pressure very
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this Op  No - Action Not Approve  No - But Consider for Po	ed
Signature of Director's	Office

	Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Deci Program E Cost Cent	•	502 Communications Aquatic Education
<b>Decision (</b> This move position.		temporary wildlife educator positions into one permanent
Fiscal Imp	eact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$21,900
	This move would resu	It in savings of the amount listed above.
Nature Ce emphasis Disadvant Some coc	nter customers. Ideas i would be on fishing. cages:	s person develop a plan to get more fishing poles in the hands of include a loaner rod program based out of the Nature Center. The arise between the statewide aquatic education plan and current
With our reasily be r		trailers in place, the aquatic education coordinator position could would allow that to happen, while at the same time, consolidating
Director's	Decision:  Yes - Implement this Op  No - Action Not Approv  No - But Consider for P	ved

Zero Based Budget Decision Option	
IDFG Decision Option Number: Program Budget:	503 Communications
Cost Center:  Decision Option:	Hunter Education/Regional Programs
	temporary hunter education technician and temporary volunteer ,3,4,6. It would exchange nine temporary positions for four
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars): Impact Comments:	\$79,600
	t in savings of the amount above.
savings. Hunter education technic	several years in region 5. Such a move would result in substantial cians and volunteer coordinators both work to recruit and train
effectively.  Disadvantages:	poses. This arrangement might help them to work more
Hunter education is mandated by	statute, and therefore must be the top priority. There have been gement in region 5 because other staff members are required to s for various habitat projects.
Program Leader Recommendatio	
if think this option is definitely wol	rth exploring given the substantial savings involved.
Director's Decision:	
Yes - Implement this Op No - Action Not Approve No - But Consider for Po	ed
Signature of Director's	s Office

Zero	Based Budget Decision Option
IDFG Decision Option Number:	504
Program Budget:	Communications
Cost Center:	Aquatic Education
Decision Option:	
Create two permanent positions a	at the MK Nature Center for the Assistant Nature Center
•	n Program Director. Both of these positions are currently funded Game payroll and four months through the University of Idaho.
Fiscal Impact Amount (in dollars):	\$0
Impact Comments:	<del></del>
	lt in savings of the amount above.
Advantages:	
This would allow us to retain two	employees who have contributed greatly to the success of the
Nature Center and reduce impact	t on operating budgets, which are currently used to fund these
employees for four months of the	e year.
Disadvantages:	
	ome segments of hunting community, who are critical of using
sportsmen dollars to fund Nature	
Program Leader Recommendation	on.
	e not an option, then I recommend at least one permanent
	e Center, which attracts 200,000 people and stages programs for
approximately 6,000 school aged	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Director's Decision:	
✓ Yes - Implement this O	ption
☐ No - Action Not Approv	ved
☐ No - But Consider for F	Possible Future Action
Signature of Director'	s Office